COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Room 104 – City Hall September 8, 2003 4:15 P.M.

PAGE

1. Coalition of Greater Minnesota Cities Update

(1-8) 2. 2:00 A.M. Liquor Sales (attachment)

(9-10) 3. CUDE Recommendation on Animated Signs (attachment)

City of Rochester City Administrator's Office

Memo

To:

Mayor and City Council

From:

Stevan E. Kvenvold

Date:

September 4, 2003

Subject:

2:00 a.m. Liquor Sales

The 2003 State Legislature passed legislation which allows for the sale of alcoholic beverages on liquor licensed premises until 2:00 a.m. on all days of the week.

Previously, no liquor sales could be made after 1:00 p.m., although cities could allow for the consumption of liquor on licensed premises for however long desired. Current Rochester ordinances allow liquor sales to 1:00 a.m. with consumption until 1:30 a.m.

The City of Rochester has received a request from Tom Murphy to sell liquor until 2:00 a.m., while allowing individuals to consume liquor on premises until 2:30 a.m.

Businesses or individuals possessing a liquor license or a 3.2 beer license must make application to the State and pay a separate fee to the State. However, before applications may be submitted to the State, the City Council must decide if they wish to allow liquor sales to 2:00 a.m. and amend the current City ordinance.

I sent a letter to all license holders requesting their opinion on the 2:00 a.m. sales matter. I received the following input:

McMurphy's/Aquarius Club	in favor of 2:00 a.m. sales
Holiday Inn South	in favor of 2:00 a.m. sales
C.J.'s	in favor of 2:00 a.m. sales
Love Ugly	in favor of 2:00 a.m. sales
Rookies	in favor of 2:00 a.m. sales
Best Western Soldier's Field	in favor of 2:00 a.m. sales

Kathy's Pub Michaels TGI Friday's Opposes 2:00 a.m. sales No interest

No interest



I am in favor of extending the liquor sales to 2:00 a.m., with closing at 2:30 a.m. and recommend your approval to amend the ordinance to allow for this to happen.

Many of our citizens work different shifts and not everybody is able to go out at the same times. The longer hours may be of some benefit to those individuals who do not work a normal 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. work schedule.

The City amended it bar closing time requirements from 1:00 a.m. to 1:30 a.m. several years ago, and I do not know of any adverse effects to the community of the extended time period.

Enclosures

Memo

To:

All Holders of On-Sale Intoxicating Liquor and 3.2 Beer Licenses

From:

Stevan E. Kvenvold – City Administrator

Date:

June 16, 2003

Subject:

2:00 a.m. Closing

The 2003 State Legislature passed legislation allowing for the sale of alcoholic beverages on liquor licensed premises until 2:00 a.m. on all days of the week.

Businesses or individuals possessing a liquor license or 3.2 beer license must make application to the State of Minnesota and pay a separate fee to the State. However, before applications may be submitted to the State, the City Council must decide if they wish to allow liquor sales until 2:00 a.m., and, if so, the current City ordinance must be amended to provide for liquor sales until 2:00 a.m.

The City of Rochester has received one request to sell liquor until 2:00 a.m. while allowing individuals to consume the liquor on premises until 2:30 a.m. Prior to taking any action on this request, the City Council would appreciate hearing from you on any interests which you may have on extending the liquor sales until 2:00 a.m.

Please direct any comments which you may have to:

Stevan E. Kvenvold, City Administrator Room 266, City Hall 201 4th Street SE Rochester MN 55904 (507) 285-8082 skvenvold@ci.rochester.mn.us

C:

Mayor and City Council

G. Neumann

J. Scherr

R. Peterson

C.75 in favor of 2:00 am closing!

P.O. BOX 815 / 1630 SOUTH BROADWAY ROCHESTER, MINNESOTA 55903 PHONE (507) 288-1844

September 4, 2003

Mr. Steve Kvenvold:

The Rochester Lodging and Hospitality Association, and the Rochester Convention and Visitors Board voted in favor of extending the bar hours until 2 A.M.

In order to remain competitive with other convention markets we need to be able to offer the same hours in our lounges as they do.

Georgianne Johnson C.H.A.

General Manager

Holiday Inn South 1630 South Broadway Rochester, MN 55904

Kvenvold, Steve

From: Sent:

Joe Cody [cody@borderstates.net] Thursday, July 17, 2003 9:24 AM

To: Subject: Kvenvold, Steve 2 AM Closing Time

Mr. Kvenvold

As the owner of TGI Friday's in Rochester I have no interest in extending our closing hour to 2 AM. We have had that law in Iowa for all of the 22 years that our TGI Friday's has been in West Des Moines. It is a non-issue for us. I don't intend to apply for a late license if it is available.

While I am a non-smoker and am personally against smoking the Olmstead smoking ban has hurt our bar business by quite a lot. It is completely unfair because while we depend on bar sales in our bar the Olive Garden, Red Lobster and other restaurants that sell liquor primarily in their dining room are relatively unaffected by the arbitrary decisions of the county commissioners.

Joe Cody CEO RESTECH Partners, Inc. 320-231-3750 x11 Q

Rookie's Sports Grill & Bar 1517 16th Street SW Rochester, MN 55902

Steve,

Concerning the proposed 2am bar time, Rookies is in favor of the new closeing times,I feel the extra hour will generate extra revenues.Also if Rochester does not allow for the extra hour it could put Rochester businesses at a disadvantadge. With potential customers driving to near by towns that stay open until 2am. I also feel customers would be allowed to continue there evening under controlled settings rather than after bar parties that are common these days. Feel free to call me to discuss this matter.

Thank You, Scott Schneider (Owner rookies)252-5161)

Kvenvold, Steve

From: Myron Salz [myron@soldiersfield.com]

Sent: Wednesday, August 06, 2003 4:37 PM

To: Kvenvold, Steve

Subject: 2:00 am closing legislature

Mr. Kvenvold.

I have received your request for input concerning amending the City ordinance to allow liquor sales until 2:00 am and patrons to consume liquor on premises until 2:30 am.

As a hotel based restaurant and lounge, I am definitely in favor of the change in ordinance. After reviewing our options if the later time frame was available, I have determined that it would definitely be an economic benefit to our establishment. Our bartenders receive numerous requests from sports groups that are staying in the hotel to keep the lounge open past 1:30 am. Many say that bars from their hometown area stay open later and they don't understand why Rochester bars and establishments close so early.

I encourage the City Council to adopt the new hours and amend the city ordinance accordingly. I feel that many establishments would receive an economic boost that we all desperately need.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Myron J. Salz President Best Western Soldiers Field Tower & Suites Shady Hill Grille

Kvenvold, Steve

From:

Peterson, Roger

Sent:

Wednesday, July 16, 2003 1:22 PM

To: Subject:

Kvenvold, Steve RE: 2:00AM Closing

There has been only one change in on-sale closing hours in Minnesota recent enough to lend itself to analysis of crash data. On August 1st, 1989, the closing hour for on-sale liquor establishments changed from midnight on Sundays to 1:00 am on Mondays. (The closing time on other nights of the week was 1:00 am.) A study was conducted to determine if accidents increased as a result. Crash data was analyzed for a period of fours years before and four years after the law change. A comparison of the two time periods indicates that early morning crashes, (defined as occurring between midnight and 4:00 am), were declining, (due to a 4% annual reduction in alcohol related crashes from 1986 to 1993), except for the hours affected by the law change. Between 1:00 am and 2:00 am on Mondays accidents increased by 19%. Between 2:00 am and 3:00 am they increased by 14.5%. All other hours showed a decline ranging from 14 to 50%.

The conclusion of the study suggests that a result of extending on-sale closing hours is an increase in highway crashes in the time period affected by the change. Further, such an increase is a net increase in the total number of accidents - not the result of accidents being shifted to a later hour. It also indicates that the unique nature of Sunday sales suggests that a change in closing hours on other days of the week would have an even more pronounced effect than the change in the Sunday closing hour.

(The study cited above was obtained from John Williams of the Research Department of the Minnesota House of Representatives. Copies are available upon request.)

Because the state of Wisconsin has had a 2:00 am bar closing time for so many years, limited data precludes an analysis of the effect of the later closing time. A state to state comparison indicates that during the year 2000, (the most recent year such statistics are available for comparison), Minnesota had 625 traffic fatalities, 32% of which were alcohol related while Wisconsin had 745 fatalities, 41% of which were alcohol related. These numbers should not be considered a direct comparison due to the many variables between the 2 states.

Please let me know if I can be of additional assistance - Roger

----Original Message-----

From:

Kvenvold, Steve

Sent:

Monday, July 14, 2003 3:47 PM

To: Subject: Peterson, Roger 2:00AM Closing

Roger, in the next few weeks, I will be scheduling a proposed 2:00AM bar closing for the City Council's consideration. The CityCouncil will be interested in the viewpoint of the Police Dept. on this issue. I would also be interested in any information which you have on the State of Wisconsin in regards to later bar closing. Wisconsin seems to allow for later closing hours for many years and I wonder if anyone has done any studies to show how those later bar closings may affect public safety. Stevan

City Administrator's Office

Memo

To: Mayor & Common Council

Steve Kvenvold, Gary Neumann, Terry Adkins, Pat Alfredson, John Harford,

CUDE members, CPZC members

From: Terry Spaeth

Date: 7/30/2003

Re: CUDE's recommendation regarding a potential zoning ordinance amendment

pertaining to "animated signs"

As you are aware from previous correspondence, CUDE has concerns related to the erection of animated signs within the City. Over the past several months, this issue has been discussed with CUDE and the CPZC. The CPZC does not share the same concerns as CUDE and feels there is no need to amend the signage provisions of the City zoning ordinance at this time as it pertains to this issue.

After informing the CUDE members of the CPZC position, the CUDE Committee met again on July 17, 2003 to further discuss the issue of "animated signs". The outcome of that discussion is that CUDE's recommendation to the Council is to initiate a zoning ordinance amendment that would ban "animated signs" within the City. The reasons given focused predominantly on public safety concerns from the combination of light intensity and flashing movements associated with these signs. Several members also brought up aesthetic concerns, but the major concern was related to public safety, predominantly to motorists traveling on the adjacent roadways. I believe Steve will be putting this item on a future COW agenda for Council discussion.

		6
	:	
	·	